Adult Safeguarding Risk Assessment Guidance Tool – v8 21/03/2022

1. Introduction

This document was developed from a 'threshold matrix', originally produced by the Association of Directors of Adult Social Services, North East (ADASS NE). The risk matrix was adapted from those published by the London Borough of Bromley and Somerset SAB; both have been adapted to practice in Croydon. The examples given in the tool should assist all practitioners in the case-work decisions they make. The tool provides an illustration of types of abuse that can occur, along with an indication of the possible range of risk and severity. It should be used for guidance and to promote consistent responses but professional judgement must be employed to judge the response to individual situations on a case by case basis.

2. Care Act compliance

The Care Act (2014), emphasises the need for prevention, proportionate approaches and the need for practitioners to support person-centred risk management and safeguarding responses at all times. Therefore, it is essential that all adult services' practitioners play a part in supporting people to keep safe and free from abuse as stated in the following quotes from the Care Act guidance:

1.14 In any activity which a local authority undertakes, it should consider how to ensure that the person is and remains protected from abuse or neglect. This is not confined only to safeguarding issues, but should be a general principle applied in every case including with those who self-neglect.

7.20 As part of the assessment and the care and support plan, the local authority must have regard to the need to help protect people from abuse and neglect. They should assist the person to identify any risks and ways to manage them. They should also assist the person to decide how much risk they can manage. The local authority must also have regard to ensuring that any restriction on the person's rights or freedom is kept to the minimum necessary.

This guidance should therefore be considered and used by all staff and teams, regardless of whether formal safeguarding enquiry work is undertaken in that team or not.

3. Why use this tool?

The aim is to support staff in decision making in risk management, responding to safeguarding concerns and in undertaking triage of adult safeguarding concerns / referrals; in future it is also intended to assist those raising safeguarding concerns via external safeguarding referrals to the local authority. The use of the tool has two distinct aims: firstly, to ensure a proportionate response to risk and safeguarding concerns according to individual views and desired outcomes, and case complexity. Secondly, it relates to assessing the degree of urgency and complexity for each safeguarding concernusing a red / amber / green (RAG) status for the cases which do require a formal safeguarding response.

Furthermore, it will give clarity to managers, practitioners and partners in determining what factors should result in an adult at risk being referred into formal safeguarding enquiries. Using a guidance tool is a good way to achieve this, however, the experience and professional judgement of practitioners will also be required in the decision making

process. This tool should be referenced in all casework and used as a guide to practitioners in decision making about whether a particular circumstance requires a formal safeguarding response or an alternative risk management response. Formal safeguarding procedures are not the only way to address concerns and the triage process should be used to decide and record the agreed and most appropriate response.

4. The safeguarding triage process

Information gathered is recorded in the Safeguarding episode on LAS under the form 'information gathering'. This enables practitioners and SAMs to record a clear rationale for decisions and actions taken. In particular, the following should be considered:

The Care Act 2014 (S42) explanation of when Safeguarding may be required for an Adult in Need is:

- a) Has needs for care and support (whether or not the authority is meeting any of those needs)
- b) Is experiencing, or is at risk of, abuse or neglect, and
- c) As a result of those needs is unable to protect himself or herself against the abuse or neglect or the risk of it.

Using the above criteria, the local authority has the responsibility to make enquiries or ensure others do so, if it believes an adult is subject to, or at risk of abuse or neglect. It should establish whether action needs to be taken to stop or prevent abuse or neglect, and if so, by whom. However, the views and desired outcomes of the adult at risk must be taken into account and so the meeting of the above three criteria does not automatically result in the need for a formal safeguarding enquiry; other responses to the safeguarding concern may be appropriate and should be proportionate and tailored to individual needs, views and desired outcomes.

The following factors should be taken into account when making an assessment of the seriousness of the risk to the person:

- The vulnerability of the individual
- The nature and extent of the alleged abuse or neglect
- The length of time the alleged abuse or neglect has been occurring
- Impact of the alleged abuse on the adult at risk
- Risk of repeated or increasingly serious acts of abuse or neglect
- Risk that serious harm could result if no action was taken.
- Illegality of the act or acts.

Other factors that should be considered are:

- The individual's capacity to understand what has happened and to make decisions in relation to the safeguarding concerns.
- Whether coercion or duress is an influence.
- Whether a crime has been committed.

Factors				Guidance and considerations	
Vulnerability of adult at risk	Less vulnerable more vulnerable			 Does the adult at risk have needs for care and support? Is the adult at risk of abuse or neglect? Can the adult protect themselves? Does the person lack mental capacity? Is the person dependent on the alleged person causing harm? Has the alleged person at risk been threatened or coerced into making decisions? 	
The abusive act	Less serious More serious			Questions 2-9 relate to the harmful act and/or the alleged harmer. Less serious concerns are likely to be dealt with at triage stage only, whilst the more serious concerns will be likely to progress to a safeguarding enquiry.	
2. Seriousness of abuse	Low	Significant	Critical	Refer to the table overleaf. Look at the relevant categories of abuse and use your knowledge of the case and your own professional judgement to gauge the seriousness of the concern.	
3. Patterns of abuse	Isolated incident	Recent abuse in an ongoing relationship	Repeated abuse	Professional judgement is to be used to decide whether repeated concerns over a certain period of time will result in the need for progression to a formal safeguarding enquiry.	
4. Impact of abuse on person	No impact	Some impact but not long lasting	Serious long-lasting impact	Impact of abuse does not necessarily correspond to the extent of the abuse – different people will be affected in different ways. Views of the adult at risk will be important in determining the impact of the abuse.	
5. Impact on others	No one else affected	Others Indirectly affected	Others Directly affected	 Other people may be affected by the abuse of another adult. Are children, relatives or other residents/service users affected or distressed by th abuse? Are other people intimidated and/or their environment affected? 	
6. Intent of alleged harmer	Unintended /ill-informed	Opportunistic	Deliberate/ targeted	 Is the act/omission a violent/serious unprofessional response to difficulties in caring? Is the act/omission planned and deliberately malicious? Is the act a breach of a professional code of conduct? *the act/omission doesn't have to be intentional to meet safeguarding thresholds 	
7. Illegality of actions	Bad practice - not illegal	Criminal act	Serious criminal act	Seek advice from the Police if you are unsure if a crime has been committed. Is the act/omission poor practice (but not illegal) or is it clearly a crime? Is wilful neglect occurring?	
8. Risk of repeated abuse on person	Unlikely to recur	Possible to recur	Likely to recur	 Is the abuse less likely to recur with significant changes (e.g. training, supervision, respite support) or very likely even if changes are made / more support is provided' 	
9. Risk of repeated abuse on others	Others not at risk	Possibly at risk	Others at risk	Are other adults and/or children at risk of being abused? • Very unlikely? • Less likely if significant changes are made? • This harmer/setting represents a threat to other vulnerable adults or children.	

Types of	Concerns are likely to be managed at triage	Concerns of a significant nature will receive	Concerns of a critical nature will receive
abuse	stage only and / or be managed via routine case	additional scrutiny and are likely to require	additional scrutiny, and progress urgently to a
and seriousness	management. You should always seek advice	safeguarding triage and may progress to a	safeguarding enquiry. The Police will need to be
una comodonoco	from a SAM or your supervisor. Professional	safeguarding enquiry. Some examples of	contacted where there are concerns that a crime
	judgement or concerns of repeated low level	significant harm may include criminal offences	has been committed.
	harm may progress to a safeguarding enquiry.	which will need to be referred to the Police.	nas been committed.
	Low	Significant	Critical
	Staff error causing no	Inexplicable marking or Medication	Grievous bodily harm / Medication
Physical	 /little harm e.g. friction mark on skin due to ill-fitting hoist sling Minor events that still meet the criteria for incident reporting accidents Isolated incident involving service on service user Inexplicable minor marking found on one occasion Minor event where users lack capacity Adult does not receive prescribed medication (missed / wrong dose) on one occasion – no harm occurs Recurring missed medication or administration errors that cause no harm 	 Inexplicable fliatking of lesions, cuts or grip marks on a number of occasions. Accumulations of minor incidents Inappropriate restraint Withholding of food, drinks or aids to independence Inexplicable fractures/ Injuries Assault Recurring missed medication or errors that affect more than one adult and/or result in harm Potential serious consequences or harm occurs Deliberate maladministration of medications Covert administration without proper medical authorisation 	assault with a weapon leading to irreversible damage or death Pattern of recurring errors or an incident of deliberate maladministration that results in ill health or death
Sexual (including Sexual exploitation	 Isolated incident of teasing or low-level unwanted sexualised attention (verbal or touching) directed at one adult by another whether or not capacity exists Minimal verbal sexualised teasing or banter Person at risk is able to protect self and impact is minimal 	 Recurring sexualised touching or isolated or recurring masturbation without consent Voyeurism without consent Being subject to indecent exposure Grooming, including via the internet and social media Being made to look at pornographic material against will/where consent cannot be given 	 Sex in a relationship characterised by authority inequality or exploitation e.g. receiving something in return for carrying out a sexual act Sex without consent (rape) Attempted penetration by any means (whether or not it occurs within a relationship) without consent
Psychological/ Emotional	 Isolated incident where adult is spoken to in a rude or inappropriate way – respect is undermined but no/little distress caused Occasional taunts or verbal outburst Withholding of information to disempower 	 Treatment that undermines dignity and esteem Denying or failing to recognise adult's choice or opinion Humiliation Emotional blackmail e.g. threats or abandonment / harm Frequent or frightening verbal outbursts or harassment 	 Denial of basic human rights / civil liberties, overriding advance directive Prolonged intimidation Vicious / Personalised verbal attacks

Types of	Concerns are likely to be managed at triage	Concerns of a significant nature will receive	Concerns of a critical nature will receive
abuse	stage only and / or be managed via routine case	additional scrutiny and are likely to require	additional scrutiny, and progress urgently to a
and seriousness	management. You should always seek advice	safeguarding triage and may progress to a	safeguarding enquiry. The Police will need to be
	from a SAM or your supervisor. Professional	safeguarding enquiry. Some examples of	contacted where there are concerns that a
	judgement or concerns of repeated low level	significant harm may include criminal offences	crime has been committed.
	harm may progress to a safeguarding enquiry.	which will need to be referred to the Police.	
	Low	Significant	Critical
Financial	 Staff personally benefit from user funds e.g. accrue 'reward' points on their own store loyalty cards when shopping Money not recorded safely and properly Adult not routinely involved in decisions about how their money is spent or kept safe – capacity in this respect is not assessed Non-payment of care fees not impacting on care 	 Adult's monies kept in joint bank account – unclear arrangements Adult denied access to own funds or possessions Ongoing non-payment of care fees putting a person's care at risk Misuse/Misappropriation of property or possessions of benefits by a person in a position of trust or control Personal finance removed from adult's control 	Fraud / exploitation relating to benefits, Other criminal activity e.g. theft, robbery, financial scams, mass marketing fraud
Neglect / acts of omission (see also Physical abuse re medication)	Isolated missed home care visit where no harm occurs Adult is not assisted with a meal/drink on one occasion and no harm occurs Adult not bathed as often as would like – possible complaint Inadequacies in care provision that lead to discomfort or inconvenience – no harm occurs e.g. being left wet occasionally Not having access to aids to independence	 Recent missed home care visits where risk of harm escalates, or one miss where harm occurs Hospital discharge without adequate planning and where harm occurs Ongoing lack of care to the extent that health and wellbeing deteriorate significantly e.g. pressure wounds, dehydration, malnutrition, loss of independence / confidence 	 Failure to arrange access to lifesaving services or medical care Failure to intervene in dangerous situations where the adult lacks the capacity to assess risk On-going lack of care or inaction which leads to serious injury or death Legislation breaches e.g. health and safety, environmental health leading to serious injury or death.
Self-Neglect Self-neglect will not necessarily prompt a section 42 enquiry. Only serious, critical self neglect needs to be managed under formal safeguarding procedures. Decisions to be made on a case- by-case basis	 Incontinence leading to health concerns Some insight and willingness to change, likely to accept help Network of kin who can help/ support/ monitor The client functions day to day in terms of food, hygiene, community access Poor management of finances leading to health, wellbeing or property risks Isolated / occasional reports about unkempt personal appearance or property which is out of character or unusual for the person Some evidence of hoarding bit no major impact on health / safety No immediate risk Low risk to health (such as no vermin, no fire risk, won't be crushed by a hoard pile, no infection risk) 	 Lack of care or behaviour to extent that health and wellbeing deteriorate significantly eg pressure sores, wounds, dehydration, Behaviour which poses fire risk to self and other Chaotic / problematic substance misuse Neighbours / others affected by self-neglect High level of clutter / hoarding Concerns from multiple agencies Environment injurious to health / wellbeing Reluctance to engage commit to the process/ change, not taking all the required steps. A degree of denial Has limited daily function re activities of daily living (food, hygiene, accessing community, mobility etc) Lack of essential amenities, insanitary conditions in the property 	 Failure to seek lifesaving services or medical care where required Immediate risk, person likely to come to harm without intervention in the near/ immediate future Unable to leave the house, not seen for some time, evidence of day to day struggling (food, hygiene, mobility, community access etc) No engagement Significant denial and little insight into the risks or fluctuating capacity to understand risks Potentially combined risks with other areas such as mate crime, Anti-social behaviour, rogue traders, scams Environmental factors (vermin, fire risk, poor/ no toilet facilities) Hoarding which causes risk to life – fire, crushing, exit blocked

Tymas of	Concerns are likely to be made and at trices	Concerns of a cignificant nature will receive	Compared of a seiting length we will receive			
Types of abuse	Concerns are likely to be managed at triage stage only and / or be managed via routine case	Concerns of a significant nature will receive additional scrutiny and are likely to require	Concerns of a critical nature will receive additional scrutiny, and progress urgently to a			
and seriousness	management. You should always seek advice	safeguarding triage and may progress to a	safeguarding enquiry. The Police will need to be			
and senousness	from a SAM or your supervisor. Professional	safeguarding thage and may progress to a safeguarding enquiry. Some examples of	contacted where there are concerns that a			
	judgement or concerns of repeated low level	significant harm may include criminal offences	crime has been committed.			
	harm may progress to a safeguarding enquiry.	which will need to be referred to the Police.	Crime has been committed.			
	Low	Significant	Critical			
		<u> </u>	3 7 111 3 011			
Discriminatory	One-off incident of teasing or taunts motivated by prejudicial attitudes towards an adult's individual differences One-off incident of care planning that fails to address adults specific diversity associated needs for a short period	 Inequitable access to service provision as a result of a diversity issue Recurring failure to meet specific care/support needs linked to diversity Refused access to essential services Denial of civil liberties e.g. voting, making a complaint 	 Hate crime resulting in injury / emergency medical treatment /fear for life Hate crime resulting in serious injury or attempted murder / honour-based violence Humiliation or threats on a regular basis 			
Organisational (involving one or combination of the other forms of abuse)	 Lack of stimulation /opportunities for people to engage in social activities Service users not given sufficient voice or involved in the running of the service Denial of individuality and opportunities for service user to make informed choice and take responsible risks	Rigid/inflexible routines Service user's dignity is undermined e.g. lack of privacy during support with intimate care needs, sharing under-clothing Bad/poor practice not being reported and going unchecked Unsafe and unhygienic living environments	Staff misusing their position of power over service users Over-medication and/or inappropriate restraint used to manage behaviour Widespread consistent ill-treatment Failure to meet legislative responsibilities leading to injury, death or unacceptable / restrictive practice			
Modern Slavery	All concerns about modern slavery are deemed to be of a significant / critical level	 Limited freedom of movement Being forced to work for little or no payment Limited access to food or shelter Be regularly moved (trafficked) to avoid detection Removal of passport or ID documents No access to appropriate benefits 	Sexual exploitation Starvation Organ harvesting No control over movement / Imprisonment Forced marriage			
Domestic Abuse	Isolated incident of abusive nature Verbal outbursts	 Inexplicable marking or lesions, cuts or grip marks on a number of occasions Alleged perpetrator exhibits controlling behaviour Limited access to medical and dental care Accumulations of minor incidents Frequent verbal / physical outbursts No access / control over finances Stalking Relationship characterised by imbalance of power 	Threats to kill, attempts to strangle, choke or suffocate Sex without consent (rape) Forced marriage Female Genital Mutilation (FGM) Honour based violence			
	The SAFE LIVES DASH Risk Assessment Checklist should be used to determine the level of risk in domesti					
	cases and a referral made into MARAC where appropriate					
		and a referral made into warrac where appropriate				