

Contextual Harm Practice Guidance

Guidance to complete Contextual Screening document Assessment and Planning Tool

Contents

Introduction	2
Contextual Screening document.....	2
Initial areas of the form	15
Presenting Needs / Experiences of Harm	16
Coercion/Control	17
Running Away/going missing.....	20
Sexual Health, inappropriate or harmful sexual behaviour.....	22
Weapons/ Criminal Activity / Anti-Social Behaviour / Violence	23
Misuse of Social Media/ Technology	25
Substance Misuse	26
Physical and Emotional Health	28
Contexts of safety or harm	30
Places and Spaces	31
Peer group / external relationships	34
Ability of professionals to engage.....	37
Education	39
Family Relationships and accommodation	40
Self / Identity / Social Isolation Factors	42
Dual assessment	44
Final assessment of overall risk	45
EARLY HELP (Emerging)	Error! Bookmark not defined.
TARGETED (Moderate)	45
SPECIALIST (Significant)	45
Planning next steps	49
Identify – as part of assessment tasks, if not complete.....	49
Prevent.....	49
Support	49
Protect.....	49
Disrupt.....	49

Introduction

This guidance has been produced to support the practice of Children Social Care's response to Contextual Harm and to support in the completion of the Contextual Harm Matrix. The guidance has taken into account the following areas of the London Child Protection Procedures:

Concept of Significant Harm

1.1.6 Sometimes 'significant harm' refers to harm caused by one child to another (which may be a single event or a range of ill treatment) and which is generally referred to as 'peer on peer abuse.'

Definitions of Child Abuse and Neglect

1.3.3 Emotional Abuse includes:

Exploiting and corrupting children.

1.3.13 Controlling behaviour is: a range of acts designed to make a person subordinate and/or dependent by isolating them from sources of support, exploiting their resources and capacities for personal gain, depriving them of the means needed for independence, resistance and escape and regulating their everyday behaviour.

Coercive behaviour is: an act or a pattern of acts of assault, threats, humiliation and intimidation or other abuse that is used to harm, punish, or frighten their victim."

In addition Working Together to Safeguard Children has introduced the concept of Contextual Safeguarding which recognises that as well as threats to the welfare of children from within their families, children may be vulnerable to abuse or exploitation from outside their families. These extra-familial threats might arise at school and other educational establishments, from within peer groups, or more widely from within the wider community and/or online. These threats can take a variety of different forms and children can be vulnerable to multiple threats, including: exploitation by criminal gangs and organised crime groups such as county lines; trafficking, online abuse; sexual exploitation and the influences of extremism leading to radicalisation.

The levels of risk in this document are aligned to Merton's Threshold Document (March 2020):

<https://www.mertonscp.org.uk/wp-content/uploads/2020/03/Merton-Threshold-Document-2020.pdf>



Please also refer to the Contextual Harm and Adolescent Safeguarding protocol that outlines how contextual harm is recognised, assessed, included in planning and intervened against within Children's Social Care core processes. This document provides guidance for completing the assessment and planning tool referred to in that protocol.

Contextual Screening document

Guidance for Completing Screening Tool

- Choose one box/score (either no concern, emerging, moderate or significant) for each of the columns.
- Each box has a red number – this is the score for that particular area of concern.
- At the end of the 'Need' section add up all the numbers that you have chosen, to produce an overall weighted score. Place this score in the relevant risk box indicated (e.g. no concern, emerging, moderate or significant).
- Do the same for the 'Context' section.
- At the end, combine the two 'Need' and 'Context' scores together, to get your Final Total Risk Score.
- Place this final risk score in the relevant box at the end (e.g. No Concern, Emerging, Moderate or Specialist) depending on the score indicated.

If the total score is 50 or above, *or* scores above 10 in any one risk column, then a referral to Pre-MACE is required. This is triggered by a strategy meeting and completed in Mosaic

Name Young person:

Date of Birth:

Person Completing:

Date of Completion:

Choose **one box/** score (either no concern, emerging, moderate or significant) in each of column, that most meets the child's risks and vulnerabilities.

NEED	Coercion / Control	Missing / Running away	Sexual health / behaviour	Weapons / Offending	Social Media / Tech	Substance misuse	Mental Health
UNIVERSAL (No Concern)	Relationships protective and supportive. Score 0	Arrives home on time. Let's carers know whereabouts. Answers phone. Score 0	Good knowledge of healthy relationships and sexual health. Score 0	Young person is not involved with crime or anti-social behaviour. Score 0	Has appropriate access and use of social media and internet Score 0	Young person has a healthy approach to alcohol and drugs. Score 0	Emotional outburst but no concerns for long term impact on wellbeing. Score 0

<p style="writing-mode: vertical-rl; transform: rotate(180deg);">EARLY HELP (Emerging)</p>	<p>Reduced contact with family/friends.</p> <p>Excessive contact with someone.</p> <p>Early grooming.</p> <p>Score 3</p>	<p>Regularly arriving home late.</p> <p>Absent without permission, returning late to care home.</p> <p>Absent from school.</p> <p>Whereabouts often unknown.</p> <p>Single incident of overnight missing</p> <p>Score 3</p>	<p>Sexually transmitted infections (STI's).</p> <p>Understanding of Consent may be unclear.</p> <p>Verbal or non-contact sexualised behaviour.</p> <p>Historic referrals regarding concerning sexual behaviour.</p> <p>Current experience of abuse or neglect</p> <p>Score 3</p>	<p>Attention of ASB team or police.</p> <p>Talks about carrying a weapon.</p> <p>Reports from others young person maybe/ are involved or linked to named gang/group.</p> <p>Glamorises criminal or violent behaviour.</p> <p>Promoting gangs/ drugs extremist ideologies</p> <p>Promoting Extremist views harmful to groups of people in society.</p> <p>Score 3</p>	<p>Approached and communicating online by unknown adults/peers.</p> <p>Lacks awareness of online safety (including parents/carers).</p> <p>Increased use/importance of social media and behaviour change.</p> <p>Displaying signs of association and influence from gangs/ drugs, extremist ideologies.</p> <p>Publishing personal information online</p> <p>Hidden internet use</p> <p>Score 2</p>	<p>Experimenting with alcohol/cannabis.</p> <p>Posting images with paraphernalia.</p> <p>Score 1</p>	<p>Low self-esteem. Some or reduced concerns of self-harm and/or eating disorders.</p> <p>Difficulty in making or maintaining friendships with peers.</p> <p>Significant change in appearance.</p> <p>Poor self-image.</p> <p>Recent Bereavement</p> <p>Score 1</p>
<p style="writing-mode: vertical-rl; transform: rotate(180deg);">TARGETED (Moderate)</p>	<p>New or expensive possessions unaccounted for.</p> <p>Unexplained access to cash/money/ bank deposits.</p> <p>Secretive.</p>	<p>Number of episodes of running away, missing from home, or missing from school / education or placement.</p> <p>Unable to give explanations for whereabouts.</p>	<p>Repeat STI's / attendance at GUM clinic</p> <p>Concerning sexual activity (behaviour that is upsetting to others/ assaults /rape).</p> <p>Allegations of non-penetrative abuse.</p>	<p>Excluded for possession of a weapon in school.</p> <p>Arrested for possession of an offensive weapon, drugs, multiple thefts / going equipped / motoring offences.</p>	<p>Coerced to share inappropriate or sexual images.</p> <p>Meeting in person after online contact.</p> <p>Evidence of sexual material being shared without consent.</p>	<p>Regular use of substances.</p> <p>Use of drugs with alcohol.</p> <p>Ability to access drugs easily.</p>	<p>Increased concerns of self-harm.</p> <p>Self-harm requiring medical assistance</p> <p>Violent or emotional outbursts.</p>

	<p>Changes in behaviour or habits.</p> <p>Experiencing poverty or debt.</p> <p>Detachment / isolation.</p> <p>Child experiencing sexual violence or bullying.</p> <p>Apparent use of mobile phone to control or coerce others.</p> <p>Concerns that the child is going missing but not reported</p> <p>Significant age gap with partner</p> <p>Score 6</p>	<p>Score 6</p>	<p>Disclosure of physical/ sexual assaults followed by withdrawal.</p> <p>Concerns about sexual relationship with partner.</p> <p>Exposure to pornography</p> <p>Exposure to pornography by another peer or adult.</p> <p>History of physical or sexual assault within family.</p> <p>Score 6</p>	<p>Non-compliance of conditions.</p> <p>Repeat offending.</p> <p>Known group and gang association (evidence to prove this).</p> <p>Thought to be carrying a weapon linked to concerns for their own safety.</p> <p>Volatile/abusive behaviour/ aggression to member of community/ peer group</p> <p>Score 6</p>	<p>Multiple SIMs or phones.</p> <p>Concerns selling drugs online.</p> <p>Possession of new mobile phone and/or regularly changing of numbers</p> <p>Viewing and receiving extremist content online and/or building a high number of followers/contacts demonstrating being groomed online.</p> <p>Using uncommon communication apps or platforms suggested by unknown peers or adults</p> <p>Score 4</p>	<p>Reports/accounts of Intoxication / 'black out' from use.</p> <p>Concerns the child is selling and/or providing drugs to others (possible coercion)</p> <p>Change in appearance / mood due to substance use.</p> <p>Score 2</p>	<p>May be exposed to violence / experiences of psychological trauma.</p> <p>Noticeable changes in hygiene and presentation.</p> <p>Significant recent bereavement.</p> <p>Experiencing bullying at school or bullying others</p> <p>Diagnosed depression or mental health condition.</p> <p>Score 2</p>
<p>SPECIALIST (Significant)</p>	<p>Evidence of organised online activity / coercive adult contact / county lines / gang activity involvement.</p>	<p>Missing for more than 48 hours.</p> <p>Persistently running away.</p> <p>Missing from home / care and concern about safety or welfare.</p>	<p>Child under 13 has been forced into sexual activity.</p> <p>Harmful sexual behaviour.</p> <p>Child exploited to recruit others into sexual activity.</p>	<p>Charged or convicted of Aggravated Robbery,</p> <p>Multiple convictions for criminal activity / harm to others</p>	<p>Regularly coerced to send / receive indecent images.</p> <p>Coerced to meet in person for sexual activity.</p>	<p>Charged or convicted of possession of large quantities of Class A and/or B drugs.</p> <p>Long term / prolific alcohol or drug use /</p>	<p>Chronic low self-esteem.</p> <p>Suicidal ideation.</p> <p>Evidence of emotional abuse; domestic /sexual / physical violence, as witness or victim.</p>

	<p>No contact with family/friends/support systems.</p> <p>Being bought or sold.</p> <p>Being rewarded for recruiting other young people into a similar situation.</p> <p>Supports others to travel to areas of conflict, shares interest in travelling abroad in support of extreme or terrorist ideals/groups.</p> <p>Threats made to family / home / child experiencing or threatened with violence and or intimidating behaviour.</p> <p>3 years + older boyfriend /girlfriend.</p> <p>Trafficked for the purpose of exploitation (including multiple perpetrators,</p>	<p>Pattern of sofa surfing.</p> <p>Whereabouts unknown.</p> <p>Score 10</p>	<p>Repeated pregnancy, miscarriages and/or terminations</p> <p>Fathering of children within unhealthy relationships.</p> <p>Increase in severity of concerning sexual behaviour.</p> <p>Multiple disclosure of physical/ sexual assaults followed by withdrawal.</p> <p>Clipping (offering sexual favours with the intention to rob the victim)</p> <p>Adults engaging child under 16 years into sexual activity.</p> <p>Being taken to hotels or clubs and houses and/or specific locations for sexual activity.</p> <p>Exposure to extreme pornography and/or by another.</p> <p>Physical or sexual assault within family.</p>	<p>Committed a serious assault /sexual assault / rape.</p> <p>Score 10</p>	<p>Devices need to be removed and access always restricted</p> <p>Accessing inappropriate/unsafe networking forums – dating websites, specialist forums including Self harm, eating disorders and fetish etc.</p> <p>Child under 16 receiving communication from an adult. meeting unknown peers or adults from websites or forums in person.</p> <p>Score 8</p>	<p>dependence on alcohol or drugs</p> <p>Score 10</p>	<p>Psychological trauma.</p> <p>Frequently at A&E. attempted suicide / chronic self-harm requiring hospital attention.</p> <p>Bereavement has had a chronic effect on young person.</p> <p>Ongoing bullying at school or bullying others.</p> <p>Significant psychiatric or mental health involvement</p> <p>Score 4</p>
--	--	--	--	---	---	--	---

	domestic / national/ and international) Score 12.		Physical and or sexual assault within relationship. Concealed pregnancy. High number of disclosed sexual partners or high rates of sexual partners disclosed (consensual / non- consensual) Untreated Sexual infections Score 10			
--	--	--	--	--	--	--

If Trafficking and Exploitation is a factor, a referral needs to be made to the National Referral Mechanism

Add all the numbers/ scores you have chosen from each column to produce an overall weighted score. (E.g. if you have chosen moderate in all the columns you will add all the red numbers together, or if you have a mixture of emerging, moderate and specialist still add the red numbers from each column together to get a whole score).

Place this totalled score in the relevant box below: no concern, emerging, moderate or specialist.

This will indicate a risk rating for **NEED**.

Universal (No concern)	0 – 4	
Early Help (Emerging)	5 – 16	
Targeted (Moderate)	17 – 32	
Specialist (Significant)	33 – 50+	

Choose one box/ score in each column that most meets the child's risks and vulnerabilities.

Context	Places / Spaces	Peer group / external relationships/ Associations	Professional engagement	Education	Family / accommodation	Self / identify / social isolation
UNIVERSAL (No Concern)	<p>Good services in area and young person are aware / engaging positively.</p> <p>Guardians in area ensure physical and psychological wellbeing of young people.</p> <p>Score 0</p>	<p>Peer group engage in positive activities / clubs / communities.</p> <p>The group understands risk and harm.</p> <p>Age appropriate and safe.</p> <p>Peers that have 'turned around' in their journey.</p> <p>Score 0</p>	<p>Trusted adult in professional network.</p> <p>Impactful engagement.</p> <p>Curious and flexible.</p> <p>Score 0</p>	<p>Attending and engaging.</p> <p>School provides a safe space with trusted adults.</p> <p>Behaviour issues are managed by the school.</p> <p>Score 0</p>	<p>Positive relationships.</p> <p>Family members understand the risks and implement strategies for those risks.</p> <p>Place of safety for young person.</p> <p>Score 0</p>	<p>Young person is positively engaging with services.</p> <p>Has awareness of the risks and grooming processes.</p> <p>Motivated and positive outlook.</p> <p>Score 0</p>

<p style="writing-mode: vertical-rl; transform: rotate(180deg);">EARLY HELP (Emerging)</p>	<p>Spending time in areas known for antisocial behaviour or where more vulnerable.</p>	<p>Some indications that unknown adults and/or other exploited children have contact with the child/young person.</p>	<p>Limited referral history with services.</p>	<p>Mainly engaged in ETE but attendance /behaviour/attainment issues.</p>	<p>Overcrowding. Decline in relationship and/or communication.</p>	<p>Perceived inability or reluctance to access more mainstream support.</p>
	<p>Child/ young person identifies and informs professionals of unsafe locations and reason for this.</p>	<p>Some indications of negatively influential peers. new unknown associates (children and or adults)</p>	<p>Others lack confidence in worker or service to manage risk or work with the young person</p>	<p>Some conflict with school. Reports of bullying but responded to appropriately.</p>	<p>History of abuse. Family struggle to recognise and respond to risks.</p>	<p>Reduced access due to their ethnicity / cultural background / being in care / Identifying as LGBTQ / Educational Needs (SEN)</p>
<p>Connection to new/unknown area</p>	<p>Score 3</p>	<p>Multiple workers are confused or disagreeing on risk for the young person.</p>	<p>Peer concerns managed by the school.</p>	<p>Family's response not having an impact</p>	<p>Has a disability which impacts upon capacity to consent, decision making or perception of risk taking.</p>	
<p>Score 3</p>		<p>Score 3</p>	<p>Score 3</p>	<p>Breakdown in home and/ or placements. Poor communication within family and young person. Parent or carer / sibling health difficulty or disability.</p> <p>Score 3</p>	<p>Score 2</p>	

TARGETED (Moderate)	<p>The neighbourhood or locality is having a negative impact on the child.</p> <p>Reports of involvement in areas of concern</p> <p>Frequently spending time in locations, including online, where they can be anonymous or at risk of experience harm / violence / exploitation.</p> <p>Introduced to adult activities for 18+ years</p> <p>Score 6</p>	<p>Unknown adults and/or other exploited children/young people associating with the child/young person.</p> <p>Escalation in behaviour of peer group.</p> <p>Accompanied by an adult who is not a legal guardian.</p> <p>Arrested with individuals who at risk of exploitation / violence</p> <p>Getting into cars with unknown people / known subjects of concern or pose a risk.</p> <p>Being taken to demonstrations / marches where violent extremist and /or ae inappropriate imagery or language is used.</p> <p>Contact with people new to the area</p> <p>Score 6</p>	<p>Services previously involved and closed; new referral received for similar concerns.</p> <p>Worker(s) or network believes the problem is in the child and they are making choices.</p> <p>Several services involved but little change for the young person.</p> <p>Score 6</p>	<p>Irregular/poor attendance (below 80%).</p> <p>Fixed term exclusion(s).</p> <p>Reduced timetable. SLC difficulties and/or EHCP. 'Culture' of inappropriate behaviour not managed</p> <p>Multiple changes of provision.</p> <p>Score 6</p>	<p>Parent/carer(s) expressing sense of hopelessness.</p> <p>External factors have more influence / family not having an impact on the child's risks.</p> <p>Clear 'push factors.</p> <p>Low emotional warmth highly critical household.</p> <p>Parents unable to acknowledge risk.</p> <p>History of being a child looked after.</p> <p>Alone at home for significant periods of time</p> <p>Score 6</p>	<p>Isolated and refuses to participate in activities.</p> <p>Experiencing bullying or social isolation that may be exacerbated by personal, cultural, sexual identity or education needs.</p> <p>Targeted by groups or individuals due to their vulnerability or perceived reputation.</p> <p>Unable to discuss or disclose sexuality or gender identity to family / friends</p> <p>Score 4</p>

<p style="writing-mode: vertical-rl; transform: rotate(180deg);">SPECIALIST (Significant)</p>	<p>Found in areas/properties known for exploitation / violence.</p> <p>Taken to hotel / B&B / property with intention of being harmed or harming others.</p> <p>Area having profoundly negative effect on the child.</p> <p>Removed from red light districts/ drug houses by professionals</p> <p>Child reports to have been Abducted</p> <p>Score 12</p>	<p>Staying with someone believed to be exploiting them.</p> <p>Person with significant relationship is coercing child / young person to meet and child is sexually or physically abused.</p> <p>Found with adults / high risk individuals out of borough. Is being exploited to 'recruit' others.</p> <p>Multiple incidents of attending inappropriate or unsupervised parties or other 18+ venues.</p> <p>Tattoos, scars, marks, behaviours, sexual activity believed to be related to gang or group association</p> <p>Score 12</p>	<p>History of multiple services / referrals with little change or escalation in risk.</p> <p>Worker or network makes derogatory statements of young person and is unable to understand needs, trauma, push and pull factors for the young person</p> <p>Services report unable to keep safe.</p> <p>Score 8</p>	<p>NEET.</p> <p>Regular breakdown of school placements.</p> <p>Lack of trust in education system (young person or parents/carers).</p> <p>Repeated concerns about school's management of behaviour.</p> <p>Score 8</p>	<p>Homeless or sofa surfing.</p> <p>Multiple moves or broken attachments across family.</p> <p>Family not supporting child, fail to acknowledge risks.</p> <p>Child blamed.</p> <p>Family involved in exploitation / violence.</p> <p>Parent abusive physically or emotionally.</p> <p>Staying with adult or other believed to be exploiting them.</p> <p>Abduction and forced imprisonment.</p> <p>Carers / parents unwilling to acknowledge risk.</p> <p>Parent carer colluding in behaviours and or relationships.</p> <p>Currently a child looked after or on CP plan.</p> <p>Adults/ peers visiting the address who pose a risk to the child.</p>	<p>Negative sense of self and abilities that risk of causing harm.</p> <p>Completely isolated, refusing activities.</p> <p>High levels of social isolation that may be exacerbated by personal, cultural, sexual identity or education needs.</p> <p>Score 6</p>
--	--	--	--	---	--	---

					Score 8	
--	--	--	--	--	---------	--

Add all the numbers/ scores you have chosen from each column to produce an overall weighted score. (E.g. if you have chosen moderate in all the columns you will add all the red numbers together, or if you have a mixture of emerging, moderate and specialist still add the red numbers from each column together to get a whole score).

Place this totalled score in the relevant box below: no concern, emerging, moderate or specialist.

This will indicate a risk rating for **CONTEXT**

UNIVERSAL (No Concern)	0 – 5	
EARLY HELP (Emerging)	6 – 16	
TARGETED (Moderate)	17 – 32	
SPECIALIST (Significant)	33 – 50+	

Final assessment of overall risk

Add up the sub-totals from the presenting **needs** and **context** of harm tables to achieve a total risk rating for contextual harm risk score. (E.g. ‘Need’ scored 30 and ‘Context’ scored 40 - add these together and your overall score will be 70/Specialist in the box below)

Total Score =

UNIVERSAL (No Concern)	0 - 9		Getting help
EARLY HELP (Emerging)	10 – 32		Getting Help Advice (School/ Health / Voluntary agencies)
TARGETED (Moderate)	33 – 64		Getting more help (Family Wellbeing Team / Catch 22/ Contextual Safeguarding Team)
SPECIALIST (Significant)	65 – 100+		Getting risk support (Catch 22 / Contextual Safeguarding Team)

Early Help (Emerging) – child / young person is vulnerable to experiencing harm, including outside the family home

Some evidence that the child or young person’s behaviour may have changed and/or is coming to the attention of multiple services. Some evidence that the child or young person is at risk of harm when in the community, school or from their peers but there are protective influences in these spaces. Some concerns that the child/ young person is at risk of being targeted or groomed, but there are positive protective factors in the child’s life. The child / young person may require a referral to targeted early intervention services for education / intervention.

Targeted (Moderate) – child / young person may be experiencing harm, including outside the family home

There is evidence the child / young person may experience protective factors, but circumstances and / or behaviours place him/her at risk of harm, exploitation or violence. A plan is likely to be required to support the child and family in managing the experiences and disrupt the contexts where further escalations in risk is identified.

Specialist (Significant) – child / young person is experiencing harm, including outside the family home

Evidence / disclosure suggests that the child is at immediate and / or continuing risk of exploitation or harm. The child / young person is being drawn or pushed into high risk situations / locations / relationships. Evidence / assessments suggests that the child is experiencing harm / exploitation / violence (they may not recognise this). Coercion / control is explicit

The Contextual Screening document allows for both the young person's presenting needs or experiences of harm to be weighted alongside the context(s) that have the most influence and present the most harm for the young person.

Experiences of harm / presenting needs:

- Coercion / Control
- Running away / Missing
- Sexual health and behaviour
- Offending / violence
- Use of social media
- Substance misuse
- Physical / emotional health

Contexts:

- Places / Spaces
- Peer group / external relationships
- Professional Engagement
- Education
- Family
- Young person

Each is scored and then the overall contextual risk is the combined score. Having them separately scored allows for consideration of where the priorities need to be focused, both in regard to the presenting needs and the contexts.

The Safety Planning section of the tool is focused on the following areas of activity:

- Identify
- Prevent
- Support
- Protect
- Disrupt

The guidance provides a summary of what actions could be undertaken for each of these areas. The actions in regard to identification should be completed as part of the core activity of any assessment and before safety planning and in some situations this may involve direct work, journaling or mapping that needs to be undertaken as part of the ongoing assessment and oversight of risk.

Where the young person has mostly EARLY HELP (Emerging) concerns the focus would be expected to be on prevention and support activities. Where there are moderate to significant risks the focus should be on protection and disruption, in addition to prevention and support.

The assessment and safety planning will require good partnership working with not only the young person and family but also their education setting and any services that may currently or previously be involved who would have 'reach' into the contexts of peer groups and places/spaces, such as Catch22 Risk and Resilience Service, Sexual Health services, CAMHS, Youth Justice Team, Safer Merton and Antisocial Behaviour Team.

Areas to consider when using the screening document:

Contextual concerns:

Ensure you cover the concerns as to why a contextual screening is being completed. For example, information received that young person is experiencing harm from peers, within the community or at their school. This could look like regular missing, ASB reports, incidents at school, carrying of knives/weapons, fights with peers, sharing of indecent images of other young people, found in unknown location or a combination of any of these.

Historical Incidents:

Historical incidents are important in looking at the timeline of a young person's experiences that in turn can help practitioners to see if current concerns are a part of increasingly worrying concerns, if the incidents or information is increasing in severity, or if behaviour by a young person is mirroring one they may have experienced, for example, the grooming process.

Mapping out historical incidents is also important in looking at previous strategies that have been used to try and address the worries, and if they have been effective. This could look like, over a 12 month period, 3 referrals noted on the young person's file. ASB referral, parents responding positively, case closed. School referral, sharing indecent images, parents engaged, case close. School referral, bringing knife into school, parents engaged, case stepped down to FWBS. These referrals are important to be reviewed in the context of one another in order to assess if the harm that may be occurring outside of the family home is increasing or the young person may be becoming more vulnerable to influences outside the family home.

Other Service Involvement:

Children who have involvement with a number of services may be presenting with a range of complex needs. This is particularly important if there are compounding needs such as substance misuse, education needs, offending and mental health. It will be important to consider each service's existing involvement and plans. The final section of the assessment also considers the ability of professionals to engage the child/young person and who within the network has the trusted relationship with them.

Consideration of NRM Referral:


National Referral Mechanism (NRM)¹ is a framework for identifying and referring potential victims of modern slavery and ensuring they receive appropriate support. Any young people who you suspect has been trafficked, that is, moved from one location to another for the purpose of exploitation can be referred. NRM referrals should be completed for all young people involved with 'county lines', brought into or moved around the UK for purposes of exploitation, for example cannabis farming, domestic servitude or drug dealing. The Police can refer to NRM so do check if they have referred already.

Possible outcomes from NRM referrals include, Reasonable Grounds, Conclusive Grounds, Non-Conclusive Grounds. Victims may not be aware that they are being trafficked or exploited, and may think they have 'consented' to elements of their exploitation, or accepted their situation. If you suspect someone is a victim, you should refer, you do not need to be certain. The agency that reviews the referrals has access to Police information and National Crime Agency intelligence to help inform the decision.

[NRM Referral link](#)

¹ <https://www.modernslavery.gov.uk/start>

Presenting Needs / Experiences of Harm



Coercion/Control:

Coercion or control is the highest ranked presenting need due to the entrapment that young people can experience and it can be difficult for young people to see and identify this within their relationships.

Controlling behaviour is: a range of acts designed to make a person subordinate and/or dependent by isolating them from sources of support, exploiting their resources and capacities for personal gain, depriving them of the means needed for independence, resistance and escape and regulating their everyday behaviour.

Coercive behaviour is: an act or a pattern of acts of assault, threats, humiliation and intimidation or other abuse that is used to harm, punish, or frighten their victim.

It is often the case that children do not perceive themselves to be victims, as they consider they have acted voluntarily. Their behaviour is often a reaction to the trauma / coercion they have experienced and therefore is not voluntary or consenting. It can be very subtle due to the length or type of relationship, thinking particularly around what the exploiter is exploiting the young person for. It is important to acknowledge that a relationship a young person is forming with a potentially abusive person may be meeting an unmet need that the young person has. At times this can make it harder for young people to share the same view as professionals around the relationship and potential harm.

Young people may say things to you like, 'I wanted to go to earn money', 'I am safe, they aren't like that/it's different with me', 'he/she/they love me' or repeat similar stories as other young people, which is an indicator that they may have been coached.

Things to consider:

- Has the child/young person's behaviour changed? Think about potential relationship breakdowns from family/positive influences, change in language or increased / excessive use of social media.
- Is the child/young person hiding things/becoming secretive? Has unexplained gifts or money?
- Has the child/young person formed new relationships/new peer group connections?
- Has the child/young person reported threats towards them? Making and withdrawing allegations
- Are there identified potential groomers of the child?
- Does the young person talk of having 'olders' in a group.

If there are any concerns that the young person is in a **Domestic Abuse or Violence** relationship with their boyfriend/girlfriend then please review the DASH risk assessment, one is available for young people:

<https://safelives.org.uk/node/516>.

[Dash Risk Assessment
for young people](https://safelives.org.uk/node/516)

The DASH asks if:

- Recent incident resulting in injury
- The victim is frightened and what they are frightened of, any feelings of isolation
- Feelings of depression or suicidal thoughts
- They have or tried to separate from the abuser in the past year
- Conflict of child contact or if they are pregnant or recently had a baby (in 18 months)
- Abuser constantly texts, calls, contacts, follows, stalks or harasses.
- Abuse is happening more often or getting worse
- Abuser tries to control everything they do and/or excessively jealous
- Abuse has used weapons or objects to hurt, ever threatened to kill them, ever attempted to strangle / choke / suffocate or drown them
- Abuser does or says things of sexual nature to make them feel bad or that physically hurt them or someone else

- There are other persons who has threatened them or who they're afraid of
- The abuse has hurt someone else or mistreated an animal or family pet
- Any financial issues
- Abuser had problems with drugs, alcohol or mental health leading to problems in life; attempted or threatened suicide; ever broken bail / an injunction or formal agreement or ever been in trouble with the police or has a criminal history

A referral to MARAC is required for any high-risk young people aged 16+ and sent to merton.marac@merton.gov.uk. For Domestic Abuse support services, please email: merton.communityidva@victimsupport.org.uk Also use these emails for consultation / advice.

[MARAC referral](mailto:merton.marac@merton.gov.uk)

[DV/DA support](mailto:merton.communityidva@victimsupport.org.uk)

If there are concerns about **radicalisation or extremist views** then please liaise with the Prevent Lead and undertake any relevant assessments to support that process. Every organisation working with young people has a duty to notify any concerns in regard to radicalisation or extremist risks. According to the London Child Protection Procedures the following thresholds would need to be taken into account:

Early Help (Emerging)

The child expresses sympathy for ideologies closely linked to violent extremism but is open to other views or loses interest quickly. The child is expressing verbal support for extreme views – the child has espoused racist, sexist, homophobic or other prejudiced views and links these with a religion or ideology.


Targeted (Moderate)

The child expresses beliefs that extreme violence should be used against people who disrespect their beliefs and values. They've viewed extremist websites and has said s/he shares some of those views but is open about this and can discuss different viewpoints. The child has connections to individuals or groups known to have extreme views.

Specialist (Significant)

The child supports people travelling to conflict zones for extremist/ violent purposes or with intent to join terrorist groups. The child expresses a generalised non-specific intent to go themselves. There are significant concerns that the child is being groomed for involvement in extremist activities. They are actively concealing internet activity.

Possible next steps - Coercion/Control



Also see London Child Protection Procedures (click for link to relevant chapter):

[Safeguarding Children from Sexual Exploitation](#)

[Organised and Complex abuse](#)

[Safeguarding Trafficked and Exploited children](#)

[Back to contents](#)

Running Away/going missing

Running away or going missing can be an indicator of additional worries for a young person outside the family home. There may be familial concerns that act as 'push' factors, or there could be 'pull' factors whereby a young person is being drawn out of the family home to spaces and locations with fewer protective factors, or groups of young people/adults engaging in harmful behaviour. There may be circumstances where a young person is not reported missing, but there are significant amount of unaccounted for time, for example, truancy from school and not returning home until after 7pm at night. The frequency, duration and any patterns are important to be analysed when assessing missing episodes as this can support in understanding who (*with*), where and when the young person may be experiencing harm.

Information regarding where (including how they got there) and who the young person was with while missing is helpful in understanding the risks for the young person at this time. If there is knowledge surrounding a young person's friendship group, it also important to see if all young people are missing for the same time periods. The use of Return Home Interviews will assist workers in gathering more information relating to a young person's missing episode.

Things to consider:

- Does the child go missing?
- Frequency of missing episodes and length?
- Is the child where they should be in the day?
- Details of missing incidents: timings, reasons, patterns, places and associations whilst missing.
- Do parents / carers report their child missing? If not why?
- Is it safe for them to be where they should be?

Possible next steps – Running Away / Missing



Also see London Child Protection Procedures, Part B3 – Chapter 3:

[Children Missing from Home](#)
[Care and School](#)

Sexual Health, inappropriate or harmful sexual behaviour


This assessment needs to consider a number of areas in regard to sexual health and behaviour; the sexual health of the individual, their access to sexual health services (this could be an indicator of need as well as a protective self-care factor) and their risk of inappropriate or harmful sexual behaviour.

It is important to always bear in the mind that a young person who is displaying harmful sexual behaviour may also be a victim of sexual abuse themselves.

Things to consider:

- Disclosures made and withdrawn
- Age of consent is 16 years old in UK
- Repeat referrals re: sexualised behaviour. Be mindful regarding the age, and ensuring that this is an age appropriate response.
- Understanding if a young person is accessing pornography, how this is impacting on their normalising of sexual activity and the category of pornography being sought out (e.g. Rape fantasy/children).
- Content that is being accessed is impacting on their behaviour and self-identity
- Has the child/young person experienced multiple sexually transmitted infections?
- Multiple disclosures re: sexual assault/rape and then child has felt unable to continue with disclosure.
- Has the child/young person experienced a termination or multiple terminations of pregnancies?
- Is the young person displaying other behaviours that could be reflective of a potential grooming process? For example, the young person may be mirroring harmful sexual behaviour they have suffered as part of a grooming process (e.g. Humiliation) in order to keep them within another's control. It may also be acted out as part of an initiation.
- Has harmful sexual behaviour occurred within a group? Look at all young people connected to this group and analyse any similarities in behaviours to identify group concerns.
- Who is at risk from the presenting behaviour (consider their age and relationship)

Possible next steps - Sexual Health / Inappropriate or Harmful Sexual Behaviour



Also see London Child Protection Procedures:

[Safeguarding Sexually Active children](#)

[Children harming others](#)

[Back to contents](#)

Weapons/ Criminal Activity / Anti-Social Behaviour / Violence


Information pertaining to all criminal activity or offending may not always be referred into social services, for example, out of court disposals. It is important to make contact with the Youth Justice Team and Liaison and Diversion Service to discuss any previous contacts that they may have had with a young person to obtain a clear picture regarding their criminal activity and interventions.

It is also important to make contact with the anti-social behaviour (ASB) team as they hold information pertaining to young people's potential peer groups and types of ASB occurring.

Things to consider:

- Is the child/young person regularly coming to the attention of police or the anti-social behaviour team? Also consider locations of incidents
- Has the child/young person's anti-social behaviour been linked with criminal trends occurring in the area? For example, moped thefts.
- Note that low level offending can lead to more serious offences and potential exploitation (in particular repeat theft, motoring offences and criminal damage)
- Has the child/young person been excluded from school for carrying a weapon or being violent?
- Does the child/young person glamorise criminal or violent behaviour? Think about the varying levels of violence and how the child/young person perceives that
- Has the child/young person committed violent acts against others or animals?
- Does the child/young person see criminal/violent behaviour as a 'norm' in their life? Think about young person's experiences with domestic/neighbourhood violence
- Has the child/young person been connected with drug distribution networks?

Possible next steps - Weapons/ Criminal Activity / Anti-Social Behaviour



Also see London Child Protection procedures:

[Safeguarding Children affected by Gang Activity / Serious Youth Violence](#)

[Risk management of known offenders](#)

Misuse of Social Media/ Technology:

Online is an area where young people may experience harm, such as bullying, sexual/criminal exploitation, radicalisation and other types of grooming and is important for adults to understand a young person's experiences on online platforms.


Children can be at risk of online abuse from people they know or from strangers. It might be part of other abuse which is taking place offline, like bullying or grooming or group violence retaliations. Or the abuse might only happen online. Therefore it is important to understand how the relationship developed.

Although it may feel that there is little influence we can have over increasing safety online for a young person, gathering information around the context, content, conduct may support an understanding about what they may be getting from using an online platform in order to better target interventions.

Things to consider:

- Social media profile details (consider obtaining actual profile names of young person and their network)
- Consumption- access and usage? For example, how much time are they spending on their phone and key times of contact, apps and online platforms used
- Multiple phones and sim cards?
- Conduct- Has the child/young person been targeted or abused online?
- Contact- Has the child coerced by an adult or an young person to meet following online contact? Did they know them before?
- Has the child/young person been coerced to take / share images?
- Content- Does the child/young person have indecent images of other children/young people on their phone? Have they been seen in online videos that are considered to be a part of inciting violence and/or retaliation between groups? Is the content developmentally appropriate?
- Compatibility - How has the use of online use impacted on the child/young person's behaviour?
- Have they been involved in filming violent or incident incidents
- What does the child/young person gain from their online platforms? E.g. Connection- does this increase their popularity/influence? What networks/platforms offer the most?
- Parents / carers safety plan in response to online concerns and knowledge of social media.

Possible next steps – Social Media / Technology



[Back to contents](#)

Substance Misuse


If a young person is accessing support for substance misuse from Catch22, this may be through self-referral or referral through their schools. Social care would not always be notified of this intervention, therefore it is important to check with the young person/services.

Access to substances can indicate a vulnerability in regard to other presenting needs (e.g. offending or mental health needs). Class A drugs (heroin, crack, cocaine) would be considered as more serious than Class C drugs (cannabis) but regular and habitual use of Class C drugs can be harmful to the young person, both physically and emotionally.

- How/means of accessing substances
- Escalation of type/frequency (amount) of substance misuse
- Overdose/hospitalisation regarding drug use
- Dependency vs recreational use
- Context of use (e.g. Alone, at a party or forced use for exploitation purposes)
- Knowledge and language regarding substances, costs etc

- Being found with drug paraphernalia, e.g. scales, grinder etc
- Type of arrest, possession (personal use) vs intent to supply (PWITS) and category of substance (e.g. class A, B or C)
- Are their peer group or family members linked to substance misuse or dealing

Possible next steps – Substance Misuse



[Back to contents](#)

Physical and Emotional Health

This will often be impacted by the other presenting needs but also needs to be considered separately. Physical health can be about the general health needs of the young person and their access to health services but also their hygiene, personal care, sleep routine and diet. Emotional health can be volatile for anybody and particularly for adolescents experiencing a number of changes developmentally and socially. It is important to consider the young person's presenting behaviour in the context of trauma and emotional needs.


London Child Protection Procedures outline the importance of understanding self-esteem in the context of contextual harm and risk of exploitation:

The child's vulnerability resulting from their negative sense of self and low esteem has been exploited by others who are causing them harm (Red under Child's Emotional Wellbeing).

Things to consider:

- What is the child/young person's emotional response to the contextual harm?
- Are there any factors impacting on the child's overall physical health?
- Are there any physical/mental health concerns for the child/young person?
- Think about adverse childhood experiences, self-worth. Bereavement/loss
- Has the child had any injuries? Think about relation to physical or sexual assault, A&E admissions, 'accidents' that are resulted in bruising.
- Noticeable changes in hygiene (both neglectful and excessive self-care).


Possible next steps – Physical and Emotional Health



Also see London Child Protection procedures

[Self-harm and Suicidal behaviour](#)

Contexts of safety or harm



Places and Spaces

Locations themselves can be a major factor contributing to the type of harm a young person is experiencing outside the family home. This may be due to an area/location being well known as a location where drug dealing or 'sexual acts' occur, therefore the location itself becomes a priority in responding to, not just the young person. This would include online sites.

Things to consider:

- Where are the child's safe spaces?
- Locations of concern and why? (Including party locations or potential cuckooed addresses- who owns the property/ social landlord?)
- Can these locations be made safer?*
- Rival groups / gangs?
- How has the child travelled? Date / time and who with?


*for example, safety planning with the child/young person around how safety can be achieved in a location of concern, such as planning quick exit points when at the location or involving other adults who are in the space, e.g. Chicken shop owners about worries/how to respond. It may also involve professionals to think outside of their usual professional network, by including colleagues from neighbourhood safety, housing, ASB team, greenspaces etc.

If safety within the young person's home is at risk due to extra-familial harm, consider:

- What should the young person do if someone forces entry into the house?
- Is there a safe room that provides an extra lock/barrier to allow enough time for police response?
- Is there CCTV at the property? (MASCOT can sometimes do this immediately in urgent situations)
- Does the YP know what extra measures to take to stay safe during a knife attack? (e.g. hiding behind extra pillows, under a sofa etc) In particular, think about fight or flight responses
- Can the family purpose extra locks for security?
- Have we seen the address they are staying for us to confirm it is secure?
- Would another person staying at the property increase safety? (e.g. An extended family member)


Assessment triangle focusing on Neighbourhoods

The following triangle extends the notion of 'parenting capacity' to 'guardianship capacity', considering the role of all trusted adults or peers in the spaces/places that young people spend their time. The environmental factors have also been extended to include businesses and resources.



[Back to contents](#)

Possible next steps - Places and Spaces




Peer group / external relationships

It is crucial to not only be aware of the network names but also the relationship amongst and/or between the child and their peers to understand their overall influence on the child/young person's behaviour or life. Peer groups can be a positive influence on young people but where there is a history of offending / exploitation it is important to consider the dynamics and influence over the young person, particularly if the young person has been a victim of crime. Incidents of violence could be for a variety of reasons, including retaliation, an 'initiation' process, seeking 'kudos' or seeking connection / protection from others. . Young people naturally find it difficult to remove themselves from a peer group. A young person's volatile behaviour could be an indication of how 'trapped' they feel within that group.

Things to consider:


- Positive and trusted relationships?
- Known / suspected involvement in exploitation / crime?
- Check names of those in the referral
- Consider those connected on Merlin reports, Missing episodes or incidents of concern
- How they are known to each other? Consider regular ASB reports
- Social status of the child in the group?
- What are the social norms within their groups?
- What need is this relationship meeting?
- Is the child presenting a risk towards others?
- Talks about having 'another family' or 'having youngers'

Peer group assessment considerations (Firmin, 2020:155)




Assessment triangle focusing on Peer Group

The following triangle also extends the notions of parenting capacity and of child development.



[Back to contents](#)

Possible next steps - Peer group / external relationships



Ability of professionals to engage:

It is not the child / young person's role to engage with you, it is the responsibility of professionals to engage the child/young person. The professional's ability to engage the child/young person and their family has a significant impact on the safety for the child / young person. They are more likely to engage in the discussion and assessment of risk and the planning to disrupt this.


Young people value:

- Honesty and transparency
- integrity
- being listened to
- if say going to do something then do this
- give the purpose of our meeting and make it meaningful
- "switch it up" - if the sessions feel boring, listen if I don't like an approach

Things to consider:

- Trusted relationship with a professional?
- What has worked well previously? Can this be re-visited and implemented?
- Professionals adapting to child and parent's needs.
- Is there an assessment of whether the child/ family is safe to pull away from the exploitation?
- Can we provide a plan to disrupt the harm and avoid moving the child away?
- Agencies able to engage?
- Could the involvement of professionals create tensions for the child / family? E.G. disruption of illegal income? Too much information being shared?
- Is there something that can be adapted to make it safe?

Possible Next Steps - Professional engagement



[Back to contents](#)

Education

The education setting needs to be considered in regard to safety / protection measures (additional professional oversight and support) and for potential risks (that may be unconscious or unrecognised), in regard to 'corridor culture', the management of bullying and behaviour expectations.

The London Child Protection Procedures has reference to the impact of non-school attendance:


The child is becoming involved in negative behaviour/ activities, for example, non-school attendance and as a result may be excluded short term from school. This increases their risk of being involved in ASB, crime, substance misuse and puts them at risk of grooming and exploitative relationships with peers or adults

Not being in education is therefore seen as significant and non-engagement in mainstream settings, such as education, is also addressed within the social isolation section.

Things to consider:


- What is the school / provision offer (including details of timetable)? EHCP details
- Exclusions / attendance? Significant incidents in school?
- Previous involvement in education welfare service
- Is the child safe in school? Fear of safety in or going to education
- Culture within provision – have there been repeat incidents by different children?
- Motivation of the young person and response to support /authority

Assessment triangle – for education



[Back to contents](#)

Possible Next Steps - Professional engagement



[Back to contents](#)

Family Relationships and accommodation

Parent/Carer:

- Their understanding of exploitation
- Their resilience
- Positive/negative relationship?
- Are they benefitting from drug dealing/criminal acts?
- Mental health concerns?
- Substance misuse issues?
- SEN or communication needs?


Brothers and Sisters:

- Positive/negative relationships?
- Have they been/could they be targeted for exploitation?
- Older - additional pressures on them to oversee safety or internet plans?


Family / Home:

- Any cultural/ethnicity considerations?
- Support network – what does it look like? Is it working? What support would be needed?
- Any positive influences in the family? Nature of their relationship?
- Overall vulnerabilities – domestic abuse, financial issues, criminality within the family, ACEs
- Accommodation – is it suitable? Is it safe? Who is living in the home? Landlord? How long have the family been living there?

Possible next steps - Family Relationships and Accommodation



Some parents may find the MSCP guidance ‘Understanding your Teenager’ and Exploitation Pack helpful.



Self / Identity / Social Isolation Factors

Social Isolation and the impact of Social Graces / Identity is the primary factor for considering the child's ability to self-protect and the potential push / pull factors within the individual. However consider these alongside their mental health / mood, access to services, substance misuse and personal physical care.

Things to consider

- Accessing mainstream support?
- Social Graces* impacting on actual / perceived access
- Experiencing bullying
- Targeted due to perceived vulnerability?
- Seeking inclusion or recognition / identity from high-risk peers?
- Community protective factors (youth services in the area, community services, housing wardens, sports facilities, safe spaces / safe adults, active spokes persons supporting community safety and cohesion) and engagement with these?
- SEN factors considered to increase child's vulnerability to exploitation?

***Social Graces**

G: Gender, Gender Identity, Geography, Generation

R: Race, Religion

A: Age, Ability, Appearance


C: Class, Culture, Caste

E: Education, Ethnicity, Economic

S: Spirituality, Sexuality, Sexual Orientation

[Back to contents](#)

Possible Next steps - Self / Identity / Social Isolation



[Back to contents](#)

Dual assessment

In parallel to weighting each of the presenting needs and experiences of harm this assessment includes a weighting for each context of harm that may be influencing, driving or protecting against those experiences of harm / vulnerabilities. For adolescents these are broader than the parents / carers due to their increased autonomy and increased time spent outside of the home. Often it can be easiest to assess and respond to the young person and their family as individuals, whereby the locations that the harm occurs (including online locations) can seem the most difficult to change or influence. However, in identifying these macro elements of the young person's life can help assess the decreased capacity of the young person or their parents / carers in disrupting the exploitation.

When looking at context weighting decisions, analyse the information regarding the young person's risk / presenting needs and experiences of harm alongside the context(s) that has the most influence or where vulnerabilities lie for the young person:

Experiences of harm / presenting needs:


- Coercion / Control
- Running away / Missing
- Sexual health and behaviour
- Offending / violence
- Use of social media
- Substance misuse
- Physical / emotional health

Contexts:

- Spaces / Places
- Peer group / external relationships
- Professional engagement
- Education
- Family / home
- Self / identity

The contexts includes the professional's ability to engage – ensuring that services are effective.

It is common that multiple contexts will be influencing a child or young person's experience of harm. By making a context weighting decision, this allows interventions to be targeted at the context that has the most influence over the situation for the young person, family, peer group etc. in order to create a space where things start to get a little bit better for the child / young person and can avoid the child having to be moved from their family / peer group / school or community.



Final assessment of overall risk

Combined assessment

Level of risk in regard to experiences of harm

- Coercion / Control
- Running away / Missing
- Use of social media
- Sexual health
- Offending / violence
- Substance misuse
- Physical / emotional health

Universal (No concern)	0 – 4	
Early Help (Emerging)	5 – 16	
Targeted (Moderate)	17 – 32	
Specialist (Significant)	33 – 50+	

Level of risk in regard to the context of harm (influence / lack of safety)

- Places / Spaces
- Peer group / external relationships
- Professional ability to engage
- Education
- Family / home
- Young Person self-identity / isolation

Universal (No concern)	0 – 5	
Early Help (Emerging)	6 – 16	
Targeted (Moderate)	17 – 32	
Specialist (Significant)	33 – 50+	

Final assessment of overall risk

Early Help (Emerging)– child / young person is vulnerable to experiencing harm, including outside the family home

Some evidence that the child or young person’s behaviour may have changed and/or is coming to the attention of multiple services. Some evidence that the child or young person is at risk of harm when in the community, school or from their peers but there are protective influences in these spaces. Some concerns that the child/ young person is at risk of being targeted or groomed, but there are positive protective factors in the child’s life. The child / young person may require a referral to targeted early intervention services for education / intervention.

Targeted (Moderate) — child / young person may be experiencing harm, including outside the family home

There is evidence the child / young person may experience protective factors, but circumstances and / or behaviours place him/her at risk of harm, exploitation or violence. A plan is likely to be required to support the child and family in managing the experiences and disrupt the contexts where further escalations in risk is identified.

Specialist (Significant) – child / young person is experiencing harm, including outside the family home

Evidence / disclosure suggests that the child is at immediate and / or continuing risk of exploitation or harm. The child / young person is being drawn or pushed into high risk situations / locations / relationships. Evidence / assessments suggests that the child is experiencing harm / exploitation / violence (they may not recognise this). Coercion / control is explicit.

UNIVERSAL (No Concern)	0 - 9	Getting help	Prevent
EARLY HELP (Emerging)	10 – 32	Getting Help Advice (School/ Health /Catch 22)	Support

TARGETED (Moderate)	33 – 64	Getting more help (Family Wellbeing Team / Contextual Safeguarding Team	Support & Disrupt
SPECIALIST (Significant)	65 – 100+	Getting risk support (Contextual Safeguarding Team)	Protect & Disrupt

Consideration should be given to multiple referrals within a 6 month period where there is evidence that previous interventions or support may not be having an impact. Information could be seen as part of a larger picture of harm and may lead to professionals being more worried about the young person’s risk of harm than in the initial referral.

[Back to contents](#)

<p>EARLY HELP (Emerging) Risk</p> <p>Level of response:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Prevent • Support 	<p>Continued support from single agency completing this tool might be the most appropriate course of action. An Early Help Assessment may be deemed appropriate alongside the contextual harm assessment tool if the needs cannot be met by a single agency or that agency is ‘stuck’.</p> <p>If appropriate ensure information gathered to complete this assessment is shared with other agencies already working with the young person/family. Consider a professionals or Team Around the Child / Family meeting for this purpose. If appropriate, think about inviting other professionals who may be working the friends/close relationships to the child/family.</p> <p>Intervention may be based on preventative and support work i.e. Early Help Plan, coordinated response from the following key agencies; Youth Justice prevention, Education, Safer Merton, Sexual Health, Catch22 Risk and Resilience Service, Housing, Voluntary Sector, Faith based community support, Youth Clubs or Leisure activity providers.</p> <p>Direct work with children, young people and families to develop an awareness of the risks that can lead to a situation in which they may be at risk or exposed to harm in the community, school or with/by peers.</p> <p>Keep records as to new incidents and risk indicators and monitor any changes in vulnerability which may result in increased risk of harm outside the home in the future.</p>
---	--

<p>TARGETED (Moderate)</p> <p>Level of response:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Support • Disrupt 	<p>If the child is not open to Children’s Services then a referral is to be made. A decision will then be made whether to hold a strategy meeting or progress to an assessment.</p> <p>Intervention should include a planned programme to support the child and family to understand and respond to risk, prevent further escalation through co-ordinated responses with those having oversight of contexts and disruption tactics to be considered including consideration via criminal and civil proceedings to disrupt those identified as causing harm or exploiting the child.</p> <p>Child Protection plan? There may be situations when risks outside the home are recognised by parents or carers who are responding appropriately and working with agencies to reduce the risk. In these circumstances, depending on the outcome of strategy discussions and any assessments, it may not be appropriate or necessary for a child to be made subject to a child protection plan. But the child and their family may still need multi-agency intervention and support that is well coordinated, regularly reviewed and monitored to make sure it is the right response. It is vitally important that parents and carers get the appropriate help and support they need in these circumstances.</p>
--	---

	<p>If parents or carers are not supporting their child, fail to acknowledge the risks, and/or are not protecting them, then depending on the outcome of the strategy discussion and assessment, a child protection plan may be appropriate. Please see blog by the Director of Ofsted about this matter: https://socialcareinspection.blog.gov.uk/2020/03/06/dealing-with-risks-to-children-outside-the-family-home/</p> <p>Partnership working In order to prevent escalation and disrupt harm in the contexts out of the home then partnership working will be essential. This should include as a minimum: Safer Merton for Spaces/Places; Catch22 Risk and Resilience for Spaces/Places (detached youth work) and Peers. Education Inclusion for Peers and Spaces/Places (Youth Clubs) and Schools; Education Inclusion for Schools; Youth Justice or Tackling Exploitation for Peers.</p> <p>Any significant change in circumstances should lead to a review of risks or review every 3 months.</p>
--	---

<p>SPECIALIST (Significant)</p> <p>Level of response:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Protect ● Disrupt 	<p>If child not open to Children’s Services, referral to be made asap. Professionals completing the assessment MUST seek advice from the allocated Social Worker if open to Children’s Social Care. Complete the referral form and attach this assessment, making it clear that it is believed there is a risk of significant harm to ensure a strategy discussion can be held in a timely manner.</p> <p>If it is felt that the child is at immediate risk of harm, the Police must be contacted on 101.</p> <p>Intervention with the children, young person and families should be aimed at interventions that can protect the child/young person from the identified harm. This may require disruption measures involving police or partner agencies and support from the family and friends to address risk awareness and staying safe work.</p> <p>A planned programme to raise awareness of exploitation and to provide tools for children and young people to self-protect is required.</p> <p>Disruption tactics to be considered including consideration via criminal and civil proceedings to disrupt perpetrators.</p> <p>Safety plan and actions to include immediate and long-term intensive direct work with the individual child or young person.</p> <p>Partnership working In order to protect against and disrupt harm in each of the contexts then partnership working will be essential. This should include as a minimum:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safer Merton for Spaces/Places - Education Inclusion for Peers and Spaces/Places (Youth Clubs) and Schools - Education Inclusion for Schools - Youth Justice or Tackling Exploitation for Peers - Catch22 Risk and Resilience Service for Spaces/Places (detached work) and Peers <p>Coordination with Police and Catch22 Risk and Resilience Service regarding missing episodes and independent return home visits to be completed.</p> <p>Consideration for Health, Police, Children’s Services risk alerts. Cross referencing with links to other safeguarding issues such as Teenage Pregnancy and Domestic Abuse.</p> <p>If open to Youth Justice, close joint working and safety planning.</p>
---	---

Consultation with the UKHTC / UKBA where appropriate, for example in relation to trafficking.

Harm reduction / detox intervention to be offered to the child, young person and family.

Risk should be closely monitored and reassessed **every 6 weeks** as part of the risk reduction process.

[Back to contents](#)

Planning next steps

See each of the sections for detailed ideas for possible next steps – below is a summary captured under the different elements of intervention (Identify, prevent, protect, disrupt and support)

Also see - <https://www.csnetwork.org.uk/en/publications/intervention-catalogue#>

Identify – as part of assessment tasks, if not complete
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> √ Mapping of peers, relationships, places (including online), cars and routines. √ Direct work to understand and assess behaviours, contexts and perspectives / understanding. √ Timetables / calendars / diaries of behaviour or triggers or access to spaces / harm √ Time lines / chronologies. Genograms / ecomaps √ Case Discussion – hypothesis, unpick trauma √ Complex strategies when multiple people involved or cross borough. √ Seek and share information with each other. Consider specialist assessments.
Prevent
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> √ Access to services – sexual, youth activities, sports and clubs, education / SALT, counselling, physical and mental health services, faith / diversity and identity forums, √ Education of bullying, peer pressure, push & pull factors, online safety, substance misuse, emotional and mental health, stress and mood, diet and sleep, citizenship, guardianship √ Professional awareness and knowledge – e.g. language guide and good practice guidance √ Restrictions to prevent escalation (curfew / online use / friend's details) √ Pre-incident contracts and planning with young person knowing what would happen if... √ Practical support (bedding, food, clothes) to prevent push factors
Support
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> √ Referrals to services that can support presenting need or underlying reasons for these √ Identify trusted professionals and network to support – including community guardians √ Direct work of assessment as part of intervention – how can needs be met elsewhere? √ Information and training – parents / carers and professionals √ Access to positive activities and mainstream services – support self-esteem, confidence, sense of identity and belonging, provide purpose and pathways to positive outcomes.
Protect
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> √ Safety planning and contracts – find my phone, agreed curfew, safety words, trigger planning, online access, safe locations, monitoring and supervision. √ Involve network and ensure all information shared and aware of their role in the safety plan √ Safety measures – panic alarms, CCTV, PNC marker on home, sanctuary scheme. Also in spaces – CCTV / changes to access. Numbers for the young person or family to call √ Specialist interventions (Sexual, forensic, substance misuse, offending, exploitation, violence) and alternative strategies, family support, group work, changes to locations and spaces.
Disrupt
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> √ Police actions – visiting young person, locations or people of concern, delivering Child Abduction Warning Notice (CAWN), track travel (Oyster or car licence plates) √ Work with network to co-ordinate responses and disrupt activity if necessary. Monitoring. √ Restrictions to pocket money, travel or access to devices / online. √ Youth Justice / Police restrictions – bail / court order requirements. √ Share information – complex strategies, escalations √ Changes to contexts – physical changes to home, school or spaces (lighting / removal of bushes etc) and challenges to stereotypes and negative perceptions √ Young person to self-monitor in order to identify and disrupt behaviours / thoughts.